

De Fazio July 9, 2014 Agenda 2nd public comment

It's about time that the public is being educated on how rates are determined. Problem is this is unintelligent, discriminatory, completely illogical and logistically inconceivable as it is being presented. You have this educational session only being held for a select group aka 1 pm and the 6 pm public is being denied said education.

Along with the fact that you are having the public consumer session on rates being done a month prior to this educational seminar. Why bother, the horse has left the barn.

Since there are no scheduled hearings on said days, it would have been more in the PUBLIC interest if this educational session was done when the public is commenting, rather than after the fact and only allowing those who show up at 11 to be availed of this education. Why are those who work 9-5 being discriminated against? Are they suppose to take time off of work to attend? IF you have 2 sessions, then there should be 2 education presentations.

Isn't that some sort of OML violation? Favoring one group over the other? The public isn't going to schlep here at 11, then go to lunch then come back for the consumer session? Especially since you are presenting this in concert with the mandatory consumer sessions.

Seems that this is being intentionally done to deter people, as who is going to want to come and go twice in such a short period of time? As I highly doubt you are going to do this as a luncheon presentation.

It is blatantly apparent that this is being done as a PR tactic, to feign concern for the public to increase their knowledge, on how the PUC operates.

This appears to be nothing more than a 'look we want to educate you, but, you will do it when we want and if it isn't in your schedule, oh well, we gave you the opportunity.' If you really want to LEARN you will take time off of work to attend. We don't want to have to come back for the 6 pm consumer session, we have lives and don't care about yours. Is this about education or indoctrination?

If you are mandated to hold day and evening sessions, then any such 'presentation should be accessible to those in attendance at both times.

Would it really kill you to have the informational session at both 1 and 6 prior to comments on dockets 5004 & 5, rather than after the fact?

The August 26 hearing and other dates preclude public comments.

Piss poor planning puc!

Do I see a third AG OML complaint in the making?