

Angel De Fazio, BSAT
POB 29194
Las Vegas, NV 89126
702/490-9677
NTEFUSA@Aol.Com

July 14, 2014

Mr. George Taylor
Office of the Attorney General
ATTN: OML Coordinator
100 N. Carson St.
Carson City, NV 89701

Via email: gtaylor@ag.nv.gov,
ctanner@puc.nv.gov,
zebedee_177@yahoo.com

Re: **Carolyn Tanner, General Counsel**
NV Bar Number 5520
David Noble, Commissioner
NV Bar Number 6761 (Inactive)
Public Entity: PUC
1150 E. William Street
Carson City, NV 89701-3109
Breanne Potter, Ass't PUC Secretary

OML No.: 14-017

Dear Mr. Taylor:

This is an addendum to the Reply that was sent to you and the PUC on July 7, 2014. I inadvertently left out the comment regarding the 'statement/Exhibit' of David Noble.

Along with 'new' information regarding Mrs. Tanner's statement about 'staffing' at public meeting.

On July 9th, right after the agenda meeting, I had a discussion with the young lady who was running the audio recording. I asked her, "I guess you are thrilled with all the consumer sessions coming up", she stated that 'it's part of the job and you agree to it when you are hired', I asked do you rotate and at least get time and a half? She replied, yes, we rotate but there is no overtime.

Therefore, there is no logistic problem with staffing, as it's already built into the 'job' and not a 'out of the ordinary' situation.

The foregoing is strictly my statement and not associated with any of the other complainants in this complaint.

In the exhibit that Mrs. Tanner provided with her response, Mr. Noble alleges he prefers to speak to the public, if needed, after a consumer session.

However, one of the co-complainants, Fred Voltz, has attended for a number of years, multiple PUCN public meetings in Carson City. He is willing to attest under oath that on each and every occasion, Mr. Noble has left those meetings as soon as they were adjourned. In none of these instances did Mr. Noble make any attempt to interact with the public after the meetings adjourned. Both Mr. Noble and Commissioner Wagner were observed to literally race toward their security code-protected offices after public meetings end, and in one instance, (4/30/2014), they did so before the Chair adjourned the meeting.

Thus, Mr. Noble's entire statement on this 'public interaction' is false and could not be made under oath. As there are public observances of his 'racing' off the dais to avoid interactions with the public, by those in attendance at the Carson City location. Along with video recordings of every meeting that I have been in attendance at.

If he is going to make false statements to the Attorney General's Office, he must be held accountable for said actions. Public oversight of public servants requires challenges to inappropriate actions.

If Mr. Noble's statement is to be considered, I am requesting that he do so in an affidavit format, under penalty of perjury. He also needs to provide the names of the public he has had these claimed post-meeting conversations with over the last year at the Carson City location. The public does NOT include utilities, attorneys or other 'named' parties in dockets, specifically the public ratepayers whose 'statements/comments' are never taken and being used in decisions.

If Mrs. Tanner and Mr. Noble think that since they are part of the 'good ole boy's club, aka NV Bar and can 'get away' with issues like this, it's time for a 'wake up to reality call'.

Please issue any correspondence to the main complainant via email at **NTEFUSA@Aol.Com**.

Thanking you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.

DATED and DONE this 14th day of July, 2014.

/s/

Angel De Fazio, BSAT
Primary Complainant