BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA

Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of proposed trial Non-Standard Metering Option riders and changes to existing rules and schedules associated with implementation of the NSMO riders. Docket No. 12-05003

Answer to Petition for Reconsideration and Rehearing

Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy ("NPC"), and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy ("SPPC" and, together with NPC, "NV Energy") file this Answer to Petition for Reconsideration and Rehearing pursuant to Section 703.801(4) of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC").

On December 11, 2012, the Office of the Attorney General, Bureau of Consumer Protection ("BCP") filed a Petition for Reconsideration or Rehearing, or Both (the "Petition") in Docket No. 12-05003. The Petition asks the Commission to revisit its November 27, 2012 order approving NV Energy’s non-standard metering tariff, to adopt an analog meter as the non-standard meter, and establish different upfront and recurring rates for the non-standard metering service. On December 17, 2012, NV Energy and BCP entered into a Stipulation pursuant to NAC 703.750 and 703.845. See Attachment A. Through this Answer, NV Energy respectfully requests that the Commission enter an order on reconsideration or rehearing, grant the Stipulation and, pursuant to the Stipulation, issue an order establishing a non-standard metering option as set forth in the Stipulation.

There is basis for granting BCP’s request for rehearing. The Commission’s March 2, 2012 order in Docket No. 11-10007 rejected four different non-standard metering alternatives (a smart meter without communication disabled, a smart meter with limited communications, a digital meter, and an analog meter) and directed NV Energy to file a trial non-standard metering tariff with a communicating digital meter. See Order at
Ordering ¶ 2 (requiring NV Energy to file a non-standard metering tariff consistent with the recommendations in the Final Report) & Final Report at 27 (recommending a communicating digital meter), Investigation regarding NV Energy’s Advanced Service Delivery Meter Program a/k/a Smart Meter and its implementation, Docket No. 11-10007 (iss. March 2, 2012). BCP reasonably could have interpreted this order as precluding it from introducing evidence related to the use of an analog meter at the hearing in this docket. Accordingly, BCP could claim that, while the evidence to which NV Energy and BCP stipulated existed at the time of the hearing in this docket, it could not have been introduced at the hearing because BCP did not believe it was admissible. See Nev. Admin. Code § 703.801(2)(c). Thus, the Commission could find that is a basis for granting the request for rehearing.

In light of this consideration, NV Energy entered into a Stipulation with BCP setting forth certain facts to which NV Energy could stipulate in the event the Commission grants a request for rehearing. These fact stipulations show that, while the analog meter has many drawbacks, including the fact that it is no longer manufactured in the United States, NV Energy believes it can use an analog meter as the non-standard meter for a period of four years. NV Energy believes that it can salvage, test, and recondition a sufficient number of analog meters to service customers who “opt-out” up to the caps contained in the tariffs approved by the Commission. Moreover, because NV Energy could install such reconditioned meters during the ordinary course of reading non-standard meters, there is a means of reducing the up-front charge.

NAC 703.845 allows for the “parties to the proceeding” to enter into a stipulation for all or some of “the outstanding issues” in the proceeding. That regulation does not expressly limit such stipulations to any specific period of time.¹ That is, the regulation

¹ This situation is different than a situation where the Presiding Officer has expressly rejected a stipulation and a party to that stipulation asks the full Commission accept the stipulation after a hearing. In this case, the stipulation addresses factual issues that would be within the scope of a rehearing, if the Commission were to grant rehearing. Moreover, while the stipulation is a partial-party stipulation, the only
does not, on its face, prevent the parties to a proceeding from resolving some or all of the issues in a proceeding after the Commission has entered an order and before the Commission has ruled on a petition for reconsideration or rehearing. The Commission, therefore, appears to have the power to accept the Stipulation.

The Stipulation provides the Commission with a means of disposing of BCP’s petition for reconsideration and rehearing without conducting another proceeding. The Commission has the latitude to deny the petition. If, on the other hand, the Commission believes that reconsideration or rehearing is appropriate, it could grant the petition and accept the Stipulation without the need for conducting any more proceeding because the Stipulation provides the facts that could be introduced at a rehearing, as well as a resolution of any dispute regarding the issue of an analog meter for a limited period of time as the non-standard meter. Because NV Energy believes there could be a basis for granting rehearing in this proceeding, and a resolution of the non-standard metering option is in the public interest, NV Energy respectfully requests that the Commission accept the Stipulation.

Dated and respectfully submitted this 17th day of December, 2012.

Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy
Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy

/s/ Shawn M. Elicegui
Shawn M. Elicegui
Associate General Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 5939
6100 Neil Road
Reno, Nevada 89511
Telephone (775) 834-5697
Fax (775) 834-4098

party of record that has not signed the stipulation will have the opportunity to respond to the stipulation when Staff files its answer to the petition.
ATTACHMENT A
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA

Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of proposed trial Non-Standard Metering Option riders and changes to existing rules and schedules associated with implementation of the NSMO riders. Docket No. 12-05003

Stipulation

The Office of the Attorney General, Bureau of Consumer Protection ("BCP"), Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy ("NPC"), and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy ("SPPC" and, together with NPC, "NV Energy") enter into this Stipulation pursuant to Sections 703.750 and 703.845 of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). This Stipulation refers to BCP and NV Energy as the "Parties."

Summary of Stipulation

On December 11, 2012, the BCP filed a Petition for Reconsideration or Rehearing, or Both (the "Petition") in Docket No. 12-05003. Essentially, the Petition asks the Commission to revisit its November 27, 2012 order approving NV Energy’s non-standard metering tariff. More specifically, among other things, the Petition asks the Commission to adopt an analog meter as the non-standard meter and establish different upfront and recurring rates for the non-standard metering service. The purpose of this Stipulation is twofold: first, the Stipulation pursuant to NAC 703.750 establishes facts that, if the Commission were inclined to grant BCP’s request for rehearing, would be binding upon the Parties to the Stipulation and, therefore, could obviate the need for a rehearing; second, pursuant to NAC 703.845, the Stipulation also provides for proposed resolution of this proceeding.

Consistent with NAC 703.845, the Stipulation only settles issues relating to Docket No. 12-05003 and only seeks relief that the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (the
“Commission”) is empowered to grant. Accordingly, the Parties respectfully request that
the Commission accept the Stipulation because, in the view of BCP and NV Energy,
provides (a) clarity of the terms, conditions and pricing for customers who want a non-
standard meter instead of NV Energy’s standard Smart Meter, (b) just and reasonable rates
based on appropriate and sound estimates of the incremental cost of providing non-
standard metering service, and (c) a just and appropriate resolution of this proceeding that
is in the public interest.

Recitals

1. NV Energy filed a Joint Application with the Commission on May 1, 2012.
2. NV Energy filed the Joint Application pursuant to the Commission’s order
in Docket No. 11-10007.
3. The Commission’s Order in Docket No. 11-10007 directed NV Energy to
file a “Trial Opt-Out Tariff with the Commission consistent with the recommendations in
the Report [on NV Energy’s Advanced Service Delivery Meter Program].”
4. The Report included a recommended alternative non-standard meter;
specifically, the Report specified that “[t]he combination of a digital meter that is capable
of drive-by reading is the alternative that NV Energy should offer to customers who
demand a non-standard meter.”
5. The Report noted that “analog meters are no longer produced by a domestic
supplier of meters and, therefore, these types of meters will be increasingly more difficult
to obtain and more expensive to maintain. . . . If a permanent opt-out tariff is eventually
adopted by this Commission, the reliance on analog meters that are no longer
manufactured by a domestic supplier and which will be more expensive to maintain over
time will not provide economical or reliable service to the customers who choose service
pursuant to an opt-out tariff.”
6. The Commission held an evidentiary hearing in this Docket No. 12-05003
on October 3 and October 4, 2012, receiving prepared written and live testimony from nine
witnesses, including witnesses advocating that the Commission not impose any up-front or
monthly charge for a non-standard meter for an interim period of time.

7. On November 27, 2012, the Commission issued a final order in this
proceeding, approving and modifying NV Energy’s Trial Non-Standard Metering Option
tariff.

8. The Commission approved the use of a digital, non-communicating meter,
which is a metering option that the Commission rejected in Docket No. 11-10007.

9. On November 30, 2012, BCP filed a motion for a stay, asking the
Commission or the Presiding Officer to stay the Commission’s November 27, 2012 order
(the “Order”), because the BCP planned to file a petition for reconsideration or rehearing.

10. The Presiding Officer granted the Commission’s request for a stay on
December 4, 2012.


12. After the Commission issued an order granting BCP’s request for a stay,
NV Energy and BCP met to discuss the viability of using an analog meter for a four-year
period (i.e., the term of the trial opt-out tariff).

13. Following discussions, BCP and NV Energy (referred to as the “Parties”) reached the following agreement and Stipulation.

Agreement

1. Analog meters are no longer manufactured in the United States and parts
can be difficult to obtain. However, the Order requires NV Energy initially to provide
non-standard metering service for a period of four years. NV Energy believes that (a) it
can obtain a sufficient supply of analog meters by reconditioning meters that are currently
in, or were recently removed from service in Nevada, and (b) may obtain parts from meters
removed from service in Nevada to offer an analog meter as the non-standard meter for a
period of four-years.
2. Analog meters suffer from several disadvantages. However, analog meters provide the same basic metering information (a register read of kWh) provided by non-communicating digital meters. Thus, for the purpose of an “opt-out” tariff, an analog meter can fulfill the same basic function as a non-communicating digital meter for the four-year period of the non-standard metering trial.

3. By using an analog meter, NV Energy can reduce the upfront charge for non-expedited service to $52.97 for SPPC Electric service, $2.58 for SPPC gas service, and $53.46 for NPC service.

   A. The reduction is based on the installation of a tested and reconditioned meter to ensure that each meter in NV Energy’s service territory has been tested for accuracy and secured by a new locking ring and seal. The installation of a tested and reconditioned meter ensures that all NV Energy meters, both standard and non-standard, meet applicable standards.

   B. Under this Stipulation, NV Energy would install a tested and reconditioned meter during the ordinary course of reading non-standard meters. Customers who desire to have an analog meter installed to replace an existing smart meter will receive one within 90 days. NV Energy will give preference to such requests to ensure that the smart meter is replaced by a refurbished analog meter at the earliest possible time, consistent with the work flow necessary to provide non-standard meter reading. This approach allows NV Energy to offer a lower upfront charge. Customers who desire to have an analog meter installed within 10 business days after requesting a non-standard meter would pay for a dedicated site visit. The upfront charge for this service (i.e., installation of a non-standard meter within 10 business days of the request) will be $84.92 for SPPC Electric service, $2.58 for SPPC gas service, and $85.23 for NPC service.

4. The recurring charges for non-standard metering service shall be $8.04 for SPPC Electric, no charge for SPPC gas, and $8.14 for NPC service.
5. The upfront rates set forth in paragraphs 3 above were developed using NV Energy’s cost and rate setting model approved by the Commission in Docket No. 12-05003 and information contained in the record in Docket No. 11-10007. Specifically, the upfront rates were developed using the cost and rate methodology described in Exhibit 1 to the Joint Application. Costs assumptions are based on the Attachment 4 to NV Energy’s December 28, 2011 comments with adjustments made to conform to (a) findings made by the Commission in Docket No. 12-05003, (b) the filing made by NV Energy in Docket No. 12-05003, and (c) adjustments made to reflect the in-route installation of refurbished and tested analog meters.

6. The Parties agree that (a) the Commission should establish a non-recurring rate and a recurring rate reflecting the incremental cost of providing non-standard metering service in this proceeding, and (b) that such fees should be charged upon the commencement of providing service under the non-standard metering tariff.

7. The Parties agree that the rates for non-standard metering service set forth in Paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Stipulation are just, reasonable and appropriate.

8. NV Energy shall enforce the special conditions included in the non-standard metering tariff on a prospective (and not a retrospective) basis. In addition, the Parties agree that a new special condition should be added to the tariff. The new special condition is necessary because the non-standard meter is a non-communicating meter. NV Energy discussed this condition during the hearing. The new special condition shall address a circumstance where a customer denies NV Energy access to read a customer’s non-standard meter.

9. The Parties agree that no other revisions to the Order are necessary or appropriate.

10. The Stipulation constitutes a negotiated settlement. No provision of the Stipulation is severable.
A. If the Commission grants a request for rehearing in this proceeding, NV Energy and BCP shall support this Stipulation.

B. If, however, the Commission grants a request for rehearing but does not accept the Stipulation, then the Stipulation shall be withdrawn, without prejudice to any claims or contentions that may have been made or that are made in this docket; no part of the Stipulation shall be admissible in evidence; and no signatory shall be bound by any of the provisions of the withdrawn Stipulation.

11. The Stipulation represents a compromise. Except as specifically indicated, neither the Stipulation nor the Commission's acceptance of the Stipulation shall have any precedential effect in future proceedings.

12. This Stipulation may be signed in one or more counterparts, all of which together shall constitute the original document. The Stipulation may be executed by signatures provided by electronic facsimile transmission, which facsimile signatures shall be binding and effective as original signatures.

NEVADA POWER COMPANY D/B/A NV ENERGY AND SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY D/B/A NV ENERGY

By: ____________________________ Dated: 12/17/2012

Shawn Eliegeui
Associate General Counsel

NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL’S BUREAU OF CONSUMER PROTECTION

By: ____________________________ Dated: ________________

David M. Norris
Sr. Deputy Attorney General
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NEVADA POWER COMPANY D/B/A NV ENERGY AND SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY D/B/A NV ENERGY

By: ___ Dated: ___

Shawn Elicegui
Associate General Counsel

NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL’S BUREAU OF CONSUMER PROTECTION

By: ___ Dated: ___

David M. Norris
Sr. Deputy Attorney General
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